

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

VIOLETA HINOJOSA,

Defendant.

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

8:11CR122

ORDER

This matter is before the court on the motion to continue by defendant Violeta Hinojosa (Hinojosa) (Filing No. 22). Hinojosa seeks a continuance of the trial of this matter which is scheduled for December 19, 2011. Hinojosa's counsel represents that Hinojosa will file an affidavit wherein Hinojosa represents that she consents to the motion and acknowledges she understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act. Hinojosa's counsel represents that government's counsel has no objection to the motion. Upon consideration, the motion will be granted.

**IT IS ORDERED:**

1. Hinojosa's motion to continue trial (Filing No. 22) is granted.
2. Trial of this matter is re-scheduled for **March 12, 2012**, before Judge Joseph F. Bataillon and a jury. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between **November 22, 2011, and March 12, 2012**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason that defendant's counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare the case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B).

DATED this 22nd day of November, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken  
United States Magistrate Judge